

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/444,819	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIKUCHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Steven H. Rao	<b>Art Unit</b> 2814

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Non-Final after RCE

(1) Steven H. Rao.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sam Borodach ( 38,388).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 July 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Rejection stated in Final Action mailed 11/17/2003 and advisory action mailed on 05/07/04*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-4,8,9,10,17,19 and 22-24 ( all pending claims)*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Kwon , Moslehi and Blanchard*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was pointed out to Mr. Borodach that the amendment filed 2/13/04 by only changing surrounding to below does not overcome the applied prior art of record and the Applicants' were given an opportunity to submit a Supplemental amendment to move the case forward. Mr. Borodach stated he will write to his client and get back no latter than Tuesday 07/20/04. Ex. Rao called Mr. Borodach on Tuesday 07/20/04 and was informed that Mr. Borodach had not heard from his clients and Ex. Rao should proceed on the basis of the amendment already filed.